

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

6

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/058,007	01/29/2002	James Chen	CHEN=340	3131
1444 75	590 10/04/2004		EXAMINER	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			NGUYEN, TAM M	
624 NINTH ST SUITE 300	REET, NW	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 20001-5303		3764	
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			7/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<b>-</b> y
	10/058,007	CHEN ET AL.	/
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tam Nguyen	3764	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addr	ess
Period for Reply	501 V 10 057 TO 5VD107 - 14	ONTH(O) EDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a min. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all		ers, prosecution as to the m	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		•	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	,	
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2002 is		biected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			٠
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 LLS C &	119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eight phonty under 55 6.6.6. §	110(a) (a) or (i).	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	pplication No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			age
application from the International Bu	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not	received.	
	·		
Attachment(s)	<b>6</b> □1		
l) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)
aper rolativali Date	o) [_] Other	<u>_</u> ·	

Application/Control Number: 10/058,007 Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "60", the selector. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show the view lines 2-2 and 4-4 as described on Page 3, lines 4 and 7 respectively in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be

Application/Control Number: 10/058,007

Art Unit: 3764

canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 6, line 8, delete "the" after "and"

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen (6,500,101) in view of Krull (6,733,424).

As to claims 1 and 4, Chen discloses an adjustable dumbbell comprising a plurality of flat plates having center through holes, a support bar unit having teo bearing

Application/Control Number: 10/058,007

Art Unit: 3764

plates (31,32), a center sleeve (35), and a selector (50) as substantially claimed (see Figs. 1-2). Chen does not disclose suspension rack and a weight carrier pan. Krull discloses a similar adjustable dumbbell that includes a weight pan (35) having slots/partition plates and cap-shaped suspension rack (1739) as substantially claimed (see Figs. 10 and 11). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to add a weight pan and suspension rack to Chen's dumbbell so that the weights are properly aligned whether they are on the pan or secured to the selector.

As to claims 2 and 3, Chen and Krull disclose a modified adjustable dumbbell as described above. Krull does not disclose that the suspension rack is shaped like a cap or an inverted U. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to make the suspension rack into any of an array of shapes including that of a cap or inverted U as long at the shape provides for slots or plates to align the weights. A change in the shape pf a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hettick, Jr. discloses an adjustable dumbbell having a cap-shaped suspension rack (see Figs. 1-6).

Krull '446 and '350 each disclose adjustable dumbbells having suspension racks of various shapes.

Application/Control Number: 10/058,007

Art Unit: 3764

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tam Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0784. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 703-308-2698. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

September 27, 2004

...

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3709